

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,784	11/26/2003	Jit Fu Ang	01013.0105	9062
26712 HODGSON RU	7590 06/11/200° JSS LLP	7	EXAMINER	
THE GUARAN	NTY BUILDING		WONG, L	ESLIE A
140 PEARL ST SUITE 100	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BUFFALO, NY	7 14202-4040		1761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/723,784	ANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie Wong	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	ICATION. In reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	1 April 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 18-32</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	• •					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 18-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur	. , , ,	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies no	t received.				
		•				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
. spor(0)	Ottlei	 ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/723,784

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 and 18-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gimmler et al (US 5925387) in view of Applicant's disclosure.

Gimmler et al teach a powdery anti-stick agent comprising calcium carbonate coated with wax in the amounts claimed, wherein an atomizer is used and a particle size of 30 microns or less is obtained (see entire document, especially the abstract, column 2, line 40 to column 3, line 26).

The claims differ as to the addition of the anti-caking composition to a high moisture food such as cheese.

Applicant discloses the conventional use of carbonates as anti-caking agents and the use of carbonates in cheese (see page 1 of the specification).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use the anti-stick agent of Gimmler et al in a cheese because the use of carbonates and anti-caking agents in cheeses is well-known and conventional in the art.

Applicant's arguments filed April 4, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,784

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant argues that Gimmler et al does not teach using coated calcium carbonate with a high moisture food.

Gimmler et al teach a powdery anti-stick agent comprising calcium carbonate coated with wax.

Applicant discloses and it is well-known in the art to that carbonates are used as anti-caking agents in cheese.

Once the art has recognized the use of a coated carbonate in one food as an anti-caking agent the manipulation and use in other food products would be no more than expected and well-within the skill of the art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW June 8, 2007